

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-7 and 9-11 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 3 and 10 are amended. The amendments introduce no new matter because they are supported by at least the claims as originally filed. Claim 8 is canceled without prejudice to, or disclaimer of, the subject matter recited in that claim. Reconsideration of the application based on the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

The Office Action, in paragraph 2, objects to the specification, and specifically the title as not descriptive. The title is amended to obviate the objection. Withdrawal of the objection to the specification, and specifically the title, is respectfully requested.

The Office Action, in paragraph 12, indicates that claim 8 recites allowable subject matter. Specifically, the Office Action indicates that claim 8 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the features of the base claim and any intervening claims. Amendments to claims 1 and 10 are undertaken based on this indication of allowability of the subject matter of claim 8.

The Office Action, in paragraph 6, rejects claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by JP-A-2000-258756 (hereinafter "JP '756"). The Office Action, in paragraph 10, rejects claims 3, 4 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '756. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Independent claims 1 and 10 are amended to include the indicated allowable subject matter recited in now-canceled claim 8. As such, independent claims 1 and 10 recite allowable subject matter. Further, claims 2, 4-7, 9 and 11 are allowable over JP '756 for at least the respective dependence of these claims directly or indirectly on allowable independent claims 1 and 10, as well as for the separately patentable subject matter that each of these claims recites.


Claim 3 is amended to recite, among other features, the attaching portion provided at a side of the plate of the resin member. Because the attaching points in JP '756 consist of mounting holes at the four corners so as to mount the packaging encased electro-optical device inside the projection type display device, JP '756 cannot reasonably be considered to teach, or to have suggested, such a feature as is recited in claim 3, as amended.

For at least the above reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that JP '756 cannot reasonably be considered to teach, or to have suggested, the various combinations of the features recited in the pending claims.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of claims 1-7 and 9-11 under 35 U.S.C. §§102(b) and 103(a) as being anticipated by, or unpatentable over, JP '756 are respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-7 and 9-11 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,  
  
James A. Oliff  
Registration No. 27,075

Daniel A. Tanner, III  
Registration No. 54,734

JAO:DAT/cfr

Date: November 30, 2005

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**  
**P.O. Box 19928**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22320**  
**Telephone: (703) 836-6400**

<p>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
--